

Outcome scores: what they measure, and what they don't

What it is

A patient-reported outcome measure, or PROM, is a questionnaire about your own health. You fill it in yourself. It asks how you feel and what you can do in daily life. No test or scan can capture that view, because only you know it [1].

These questionnaires have been around since at least the 1960s [2]. They are standardised and validated, which means the questions are set in advance and tested to make sure they measure what they claim to measure [3]. A concrete example: a shoulder questionnaire might ask how much trouble you have reaching a high shelf or getting dressed. Your answers turn into a score, and that score can be tracked over time.

Why does your doctor use them? Because the usual physical checks are less reliable than you might think. Measuring how far a joint moves, or how strong it is, does not always reflect how you actually feel and function [4]. Questionnaires are also useful for shared decisions about your care and for routine check-ups after surgery [5].

There are different types. Some focus on one joint or condition, like a shoulder arthritis index. Others, such as the NIH PROMIS measures, cover general symptoms and function [6]. The same questions can come by text message on a mobile phone or on paper, and both give comparable scores [7].

One thing to know: your score is an average picture, not a personal verdict. Researchers are working on better ways to report how many patients reach a level of change that actually matters in daily life, rather than relying on average scores alone [8].

Why it matters

A score from a questionnaire does two different jobs, and it helps to know which one is which.

The first job is following you. When you fill in the same questionnaire before and after treatment, your scores trace your own progress over time. That picture belongs to you alone. It shows whether things are moving in the right direction for you, and it gives you and your doctor something concrete to look at together when you make decisions about your care [9]. Your own result is most useful as a marker of your own change, not as a score to be ranked against other people.

The second job is comparing groups. When many patients fill in the same questionnaires, their answers can be pooled so that treatments and services can be judged across whole populations [10]. This is how the wider health system learns which approaches work well for people in general. Collecting these scores routinely, and sharing them with patients as care decisions are made, is described as the future of healthcare that puts patient views at the centre [11].

It is worth knowing that this shift is still under way. Only 57% of primary medical providers use these questionnaires in their practice, and much of the information gathered so far has been used for research rather than for day-to-day clinical decisions [12]. The move towards routine use has also been driven largely by researchers and funders, and has not always focused on improving care from the patient's point of view [13].

So when you are asked to complete one of these questionnaires, the most meaningful use of your answers is close to home. They record how you feel and what you can do, in your own words, at that moment. Over time they show your change. That is the job that matters for you.

What the results mean

So you have a score in front of you. What does the number actually tell you?

First, which way is better? It depends on the questionnaire. The form itself, or the person who gave it to you, will tell you which way round it runs. Do not assume one direction applies to all scores.

Second, how big a change matters? A small shift on paper may not be a change you would notice in daily life. Researchers use the term “minimal clinically important difference” for the smallest change a patient would actually feel. There is no single threshold that works for every score or every group of patients, because values vary between hospitals and patient populations [14]. So a change worth noticing for one questionnaire cannot simply be copied onto another.

Third, one score on its own says less than the same score measured again later. A single result is a snapshot. The pattern over time is what shows your progress. For that pattern to mean anything, the questionnaire needs to give similar answers when nothing has changed, and to move when your health does [15].

A few cautions worth knowing. A difference that looks real on paper may not be a difference you would feel, because statistical significance does not always match what matters in daily life [15]. And many studies of these scores do not report the full range of uncertainty around their results, or say in advance what size of change would count as meaningful [16]. That is a limitation of the research, not a reason to dismiss your own scores.

When a study does report how many patients reached a level of change that matters, that proportion tells you more than an average score alone [8]. Your own care team can help you read your result in that light, alongside how you actually feel and what you can do.

What it can't tell you

A questionnaire score does not diagnose anything. It records how you feel on the day you fill it in. It cannot tell you what is wrong, and it cannot decide which treatment you should have. Those decisions belong to you and your doctor together, using the score as one piece of information among many.

A single result is also not a verdict on how well you are doing. The questions are fixed, so they may miss what matters most to you. If the questionnaire does not cover the part of your health that treatment is meant to help, a real improvement can go unnoticed [17]. Scores can also bunch up at the very top or bottom of the scale, which means two people with very different lives can land on the same number.

Group averages hide individual stories. When results from many patients are pooled, the range of experiences between them disappears from view. Your own path may sit well outside that average, in either direction, and that is normal.

There are honest limits in the research itself. The way these scores are collected is not uniform across the world's registries, which affects how well results can be compared [18]. The questionnaires still need further testing to fill gaps in what we know about how they measure [19]. And the thresholds used to judge whether a change matters vary widely, because different methods of calculating them give very different values [20]. There is no single threshold that works everywhere, for any score [14].

None of this makes your answers less useful. It means a score is a conversation starter, not a conclusion. Bring your own account of what you can do and how you feel, and treat the number as one part of that picture.

The bottom line

A questionnaire score is good for one thing: showing how you feel and what you can do over time. It is not a diagnosis, a verdict, or a promise about your future. Use it as one piece of information alongside your own account of daily life. The one thing worth remembering: the pattern across several questionnaires matters more than any single number.

REFERENCES

1. Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) have arrived in sports and exercise medicine: Why do they matter?. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2015. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2014-093707
2. Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs): A review of generic and condition-specific measures and a discussion of trends and issues. *Health Expectations*. 2021. DOI: 10.1111/hex.13254
3. The routine use of patient reported outcome measures in healthcare settings. *BMJ*. 2010. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.c186
4. Physical outcome measures: The role of strength and range of motion in orthopaedic research. *Injury*. 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2019.11.017
5. Meaningful Clinical Applications of Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in Orthopaedics. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2020. DOI: 10.2106/jbjs.20.00624
6. Use of Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Measures in Orthopaedic Specialties: Results of a Scoping Review for 2018 to 2022. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. 2025. DOI: 10.5435/jaaos-d-24-00432
7. Collection of patient-reported outcomes; - text messages on mobile phones provide valid scores and high response rates. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2014. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-52

CQ HAND + UPPER LIMB

Dr Kieran Hirpara — Specialist Orthopaedic Surgeon
Suite 2, Level 1, Mater Private Hospital Rockhampton, 31 Ward Street, The Range, QLD 4700
Phone 07 4863 6556 · office@cqupperlimb.com.au · cqupperlimb.com.au

8. A Call for a Standardized Approach to Reporting Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2021. DOI: 10.2106/jbjs.21.00030
9. Integrating Patient-reported Outcomes Into Orthopaedic Clinical Practice: Proof of Concept From FORCE-TJR. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research*. 2013. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-013-3143-z
10. The Importance of Outcome Measurement in Orthopaedics. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research*. 2013. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-013-3224-z
11. Value-based Healthcare: Patient-reported Outcomes in Clinical Decision Making. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research*. 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-016-4813-4
12. THE USE OF PATIENT REPORTED OUTCOME MEASURES BY PRIMARY MEDICAL PROVIDERS IN THE PEDIATRIC SPORTS POPULATION. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2019. DOI: 10.1177/2325967119s00107
13. Patient reported outcome measures in practice. *BMJ*. 2015. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.g7818
14. Does Calculation of the Minimal Clinically Important Difference Make Sense? Ways to Improve. *Arthroscopy*. 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2023.11.007
15. Guidelines for Proper Reporting of Clinical Significance, Including Minimal Clinically Important Difference, Patient Acceptable Symptomatic State, Substantial Clinical Benefit, and Maximal Outcome Improvement. *Arthroscopy*. 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2022.08.020
16. Interpreting Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in Orthopaedic Surgery. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2020. DOI: 10.2106/jbjs.20.00474
17. The right measure for the right question: A framework for domain-matched patient-reported outcome measure selection in knee arthroplasty research. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*. 2026. DOI: 10.1002/ksa.70620
18. CORR Insights®: Collection and Reporting of Patient-reported Outcome Measures in Arthroplasty Registries: Multinational Survey and Recommendations. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research*. 2021. DOI: 10.1097/corr.0000000000001918
19. Assessing the Quality of Available Patient Reported Outcome Measures for Intermittent Claudication: A Systematic Review Using the COSMIN Checklist. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*. 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2014.12.002
20. The Minimal Clinically Important Difference: Response. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2023. DOI: 10.1177/03635465231189222